Notations

- (a) p_n denotes the n-th prime number.
- (b) S(n, k) = T(n, k) T(n, k + 1), for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le k \le row(n)$.

Observations

- (c) $\sigma(2 \cdot p_n) = 1 + 2 + p_n + 2 \cdot p_n = 3 \cdot (p_n + 1).$
- (d) Every prime p > 3 satisfies $p = 6 \times k \pm 1$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (e) T(n, 1) = T(n 1, 1) + 1, for any $n \ge 1$.
- (f) For any prime number p > 3: T(2×p, 1) = 2×p & T(2×p - 1, 1) = 2×p - 1 T(2×p, 2) = T(2×p - 1, 2) = p - 1
- (g) Each leg in half of the n-th boundary path for the symmetric representation of $\sigma(n)$ has length S(n, k), $1 \le k \le row(n)$
- (h) If S(n, 1) S(n 1, 1) = 1, S(n, 2) S(n 1, 2) = 0, S(n, 3) S(n 1, 3) = -1 & S(n, 4) > 0, then the three pairs of legs (S(n, i), S(n 1, i)), i = 1, 2, 3, together with the y-axis and the 4-th leg S(n, 4) of the upper boundary define a closed, connected region of width one.

Claims

(1) For any prime number
$$p > 3$$
:

(a)
$$T(2 \times p, 3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2 \times p - 5}{3} & \text{if } p = 6 \ k + 1 \\ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p = 6 \ k - 1 \end{array} \right\} = T(2 \times p - 1, 3)$$

(b)
$$T(2 \times p, 4) = \frac{p-3}{2} \& T(2 \times p - 1, 4) = \frac{p-5}{2}$$

(c)
$$S(2 \times p, 4) = T(2 \times p, 4) - T(2 \times p, 5) > 0$$

- (2) For any prime number p > 3, $S(2 \times p, 1) S(2 \times p 1, 1) = 1$, $S(2 \times p, 2) S(2 \times p 1, 2) = 0$, $S(2 \times p, 3) - S(2 \times p - 1, 3) = -1 & S(2 \times p, 4) > 0$.
- (3) For any prime number p > 3 such that $p \equiv -1 \mod 6$, $\frac{S(2 \times p, 1)}{S(2 \times p, 2)} = 3$ and $\frac{S(2 \times p, 2)}{S(2 \times p, 3)} = 2$.
- (4) The area of the region described in Observation (h) equals $\frac{3}{2} \times (p_{n+2} + 1) = \frac{1}{2} \times \sigma(2 \times p_{n+2})$.
- (5) The sequence $2 \times p_{n+2}$, $n \ge 1$, is a sub-sequence of A239929.

Proof of (1.a), (1.b) & (1.c)

The corresponding proofs for 2×p - 1 proceed similarly.

$$T(2 \times p, 3) = \left\lceil \frac{2 \times p + 1}{3} \right\rceil - 2 = \left\lceil \frac{2 \times (6 \times k \pm 1) + 1}{3} \right\rceil - 2 = 4 \times k + \left\lceil \frac{\pm 2 + 1}{3} \right\rceil - 2 = \begin{cases} 4 \ k - 1 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 5}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ + \ 1 \\ 4 \ k - 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ = \ \frac{2 \times p - 4}{3} & \text{if } p \ = \ 6 \ k \ - \ 1 \\ 1 \ k \ - \ 2 \ - \$$

Proof of (2)

From the Observations and Claim (1) we get:

$$S(2 \times p, 1) = T(2 \times p, 1) - T(2 \times p, 2) = 2 \times p - (p - 1) = p + 1$$

$$S(2 \times p - 1, 1) = T(2 \times p - 1, 1) - T(2 \times p - 1, 2) = (2 \times p - 1) - (p - 1) = p$$

$$S(2 \times p, 2) = T(2 \times p, 2) - T(2 \times p, 3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{p + 2}{3} & \text{if } p = 6 \text{ k} + 1 \\ \frac{p + 1}{3} & \text{if } p = 6 \text{ k} - 1 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{2p + 3}{6} \pm \frac{1}{6} = S(2 \times p - 1, 2)$$

$$S(2 \times p, 3) = T(2 \times p, 3) - T(2 \times p, 4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{p - 1}{6} & \text{if } p = 6 \text{ k} + 1 \\ \frac{p + 1}{6} & \text{if } p = 6 \text{ k} - 1 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{p}{6} \mp \frac{1}{6}$$

$$S(2 \times p - 1, 3) = T(2 \times p - 1, 3) - T(2 \times p - 1, 4) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{p + 5}{6} & \text{if } p = 6 \text{ k} + 1 \\ \frac{p + 7}{6} & \text{if } p = 6 \text{ k} - 1 \end{array} \right\} = \frac{p}{6} + 1 \mp \frac{1}{6}$$

Proof of (3)

Straightforward computations using the values from Claim (2) when $p \equiv -1 \mod 6$ prove the assertion.

Proof of (4)

Claims (1) & (2) show that the area of the region described in Observation (h) can be computed just by the length of either its upper or its lower boundary. We get $S(2 \times p_{n+2}, 1) + S(2 \times p_{n+2}, 2) + S(2 \times p_{n+2}, 3) = T(2 \times p_{n+2}, 1) - T(2 \times p_{n+2}, 4)$ = $2 \times p_{n+2} - \frac{p_{n+2}-3}{2} = \frac{3 \times p_{n+2}+3}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \times \sigma(2 \times p_{n+2}).$

Proof of (5)

Claim (4) shows that the area of the symmetric representation of $\sigma(2 \cdot p_{n+2})$ is $3 \cdot (p_{n+2} + 1)$. Since the boundary path of the symmetric representation has length $4 \cdot p_{n+2}$, there are exactly two regions.